



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,826	06/19/2006	Luciano Salice	NAG-10402//50	8716
25006	7590	08/05/2008		
GIFTORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C				
PO BOX 7021				
TROY, MI 48007-7021				
EXAMINER				
SULLIVAN, MATTHEW J				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3677				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/05/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/583,826

Applicant(s)

SALICE, LUCIANO

Examiner

MATTHEW SULLIVAN

Art Unit

3677

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 June 2006.
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.
7) ☒ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 June 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/CIS)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/19/06
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Ferrari, U.S. Patent 5,373,609 (12/20/94).

Ferrari teaches a furniture hinge (fig. 1) comprising a fixing arm (12) for fixing to a piece of furniture (23), a member (11) for fixing to a door, a first rocker (13) and a second rocker (14) forming a four-bar linkage and connecting the fixing arm to the member to enable reciprocal pivoting, an elastic element (24) exerting an elastic reaction against the member for fixing to the furniture and the other arm exerting a reaction on one of the rockers (14) so as to compress the elastic element and produce a moment generating a pushing force in an opening direction of the door (22) when the hinge is in a closing position of the door (see Column 3, Line 19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferrari '609 in view of Kawano, Japanese Patent 1,247,679 (10/3/89).

All the aspects of the instant invention are disclosed above but for the elastic element hinged to the pin connecting the fixing arm to the first rocker and the first arm of the elastic element exerting an elastic reaction on the fixing arm and the second arm of the elastic element exerting an elastic reaction on the first rocker and where the elastic element exerts the elastic reaction for a short arc only of the reciprocal pivoting. Ferrari teaches the elastic element hinged on the pin connecting the first rocker and fixing arm (see reference 15) and the elastic element exerting the elastic reaction for a short arc only of the reciprocal pivoting (see Col 3, Lines 10-20). Kawano teaches an elastic element (19) with a first arm exerting an elastic reaction a fixing arm (1) and second arm exerting an elastic reaction on the first rocker (11). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Ferrari with the features as taught by Kawano because the hinge would then be easier to assemble.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferrari '609 in view of Mueller, German Patent 2,746,410 (4/19/79)

All the aspects of the instant invention are disclosed above but for the elastic element hinged to the pin connecting the member to the first rocker and first arm of the elastic element exerts an elastic reaction on the second rocker and the second arm of the elastic element exerts an elastic reaction on the member for fixing to the door. Mueller teaches a hinge with an elastic element (10) hinged to the pin (12) connecting

Art Unit: 3677

the member (5) to a first rocker (10) and the first arm of the elastic element exerts an elastic reaction on the member (5). Mueller does not teach the second arm of the elastic element exerting an elastic reaction on the second rocker. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to place the elastic element of Ferrari on the pin (16) of Ferrari as taught by Mueller to provide elastic reactions against the member (11) of Ferrari and the second rocker (14) because this design would make the elastic member easier to replace if it were damaged.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MATTHEW SULLIVAN whose telephone number is (571)270-5218. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 8:00 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Victor D. Batson can be reached on 571-272-6987. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Victor Batson/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3677

/MATTHEW SULLIVAN/
Examiner, Art Unit 3677